

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/624,760   | 07/21/2003  | John Clifford Miller IV | MILL-01000US0       | 6510             |  |
| VIERRA MAGEN MARCUS & DENIRO LLP  575 MARKET STREET SUITE 2500 |             |                         | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
|  |             |                         | WILLIAMS, ROSS A    |                  |  |
| SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105  |             |                         | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|  |             |                         |                     | 3714             |  |
| •  |             |                         |                     |                  |  |
|  |             |                         | · MAIL DATE         | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|  |             |                         | 12/19/2006          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)                            |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/624,760  | MILLER ET AL.                           |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of Abandonment   | Examiner  | Art Unit                                |  |  |  |  |
| ·   | Ross A. Williams  | 3714                                    |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| This application is abandoned in view of:   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office         <ul> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of</li> </ul> </li> </ol>  | failing or Transmission dated<br>month(s)) which expired on _ | · ·                                     |  |  |  |  |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (  | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);                           |   |  |  |  |  |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| (d) ⊠ No reply has been received.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8  | 5).   |   |  |  |  |  |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| (c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no  | ot been received.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ<br>Allowability (PTO-37).  | uired by, and within the three-month p                        | period set in, the Notice of            |  |  |  |  |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received.   |   | . •                                     |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the<br/>the applicants.</li> </ol>  | e attorney or agent of record, the ass                        | ignee of the entire interest, or all of |  |  |  |  |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair  |   | e the period for seeking court review   |  |  |  |  |
| 7. M The reason(s) below:   |   | •                                       |  |  |  |  |
| Contacted Applicant on 12/11/06 to extend a courte response received by the Office.   | esy call that the application has be                          | een abandoned due to no                 |  |  |  |  |
| XŬAN M. THAI<br>SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | SUPERVISORY PA  | 370)                                    |  |  |  |  |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra  |   | •                                       |  |  |  |  |
| minimize any negative effects on patent term.   | •                       |   |  |  |  |  |